MANLOVE LAKE

Fayette County
2006 Fish Management Report

Jamie L. Smyth
Assistant Fisheries Biologist



Fisheries Section
Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Division of Fish and Wildlife
I.G.C.-South, Room W273
402 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Manlove Lake is a 15-acre impoundment located in Fayette County approximately 6 mi northwest of Connersville, Indiana. Anglers can access the lake from the shoreline or by launching a boat. There is a daily launch fee required or there is an annual permit available for boating anglers who frequent the lake.
- A fishery survey was conducted July 18 and 19, 2006. The predominant species collected by number were bluegill (75%) and largemouth bass (21%).
- A total of 193 bluegill was collected that weighed 13 lbs. Bluegill ranged in length from 2.0 to 8.5 in and averaged 4.3 in. Eleven percent of bluegill were available for harvest (6.0 or larger).
- There were 53 largemouth caught that ranged in length from 1.8 to 16.3 in and averaged 7.9 in. Bass caught weighed a total of 34 lbs. A total of 28% of the bass collected met or exceeded the 14-in minimum size limit
- The most recent stocking of channel catfish (500, 8 in fish) occurred in the fall of 2006. The next stocking of channel catfish is slated for the fall of 2008.
- The implementation of grates to prevent the passage of large fish through the overflow at Manlove Lake allowed the conservation club to stock 60 triploid grass carp in the fall of 2006
- The conservation club should apply for an aquatic vegetation control permit by the end of January. Herbicide treatments for submersed vegetation should continue to be listed on the permit application along with algae treatments. Contact District 5 fisheries biologists by the end of March to help develop a herbicide treatment plan.
- Continued control of filamentous algae should start as early as April and continue throughout the year.
- Anglers are encouraged to practice catch and release for largemouth bass to help control the bluegill population and any bluegill caught should be harvested.

INTRODUCTION

Manlove Lake is a 15-acre impoundment located in Fayette County approximately 6 mi northwest of Connersville, Indiana. The lake and the park surrounding it are owned by the county and are leased by the Fayette County Conservation Club. Since 1971, the Division of Fish and Wildlife (DFW) has managed the fishery. Anglers can access the lake from the shoreline or by launching a boat. There is a daily launch fee required or there is an annual permit available for boating anglers who frequent the lake.

In the past, Manlove Lake's fishery has been dominated by an overabundance of small bluegill. This was primarily a result of overly abundant submersed vegetation. Therefore, management efforts have focused on trying to reduce the number of bluegill by encouraging increased bluegill harvest, promoting catch and release of largemouth bass, and asking the park to increase weed control efforts. Channel catfish have been stocked on a biennial basis to provide additional angling opportunities. Prior to this survey, the last stocking of catfish was in the fall of 2004.

The current survey was conducted to determine if recently employed management strategies have begun to correct the predator/prey balance. A primary focus was the amount of aquatic vegetation present and it's impact on the fishery.

METHODS

The survey was conducted July 18 and 19, 2006. Physical and chemical characteristics of the lake were measured and collected according to DFW survey guidelines (2001). Aquatic vegetation was sampled on July 19, 2006 according to DFW guidelines (2006).

Fish were collected via night DC electrofishing for 0.5 h using two dippers, two experimental-mesh gill net lifts, and one trap net lift. The collected fish were measured to the nearest 0.1 in TL. Weight estimates of all species were calculated using central Indiana averages or length-weight regressions. Proportional stock density (PSD) was calculated for bluegill and largemouth bass (Anderson and Neumann 1996). The Bluegill Fishing Potential Index (BGFP) was used to describe the bluegill fishery (Ball and Tousignant 1996).

RESULTS

The surface temperature at Manlove Lake on July 18 was 84.2°F. The dissolved oxygen level dropped from 5 ppm at 4 ft to 0.1 ppm at 6 ft, indicating the thermocline was present between 4 and 6 ft of water. The Secchi disk reading was 3.0 ft.

Coontail, southern naiad, and thick mats of filamentous algae were all found at over half the sampling sites, with coontail being the dominant of the three. Overall, six species of submersed vegetation, algae, duckweed, cattails, and watermeal were collected or observed.

There were 257 fish collected that weighed 54 lbs. Six species comprised the sample. The predominant species collected by number were bluegill (75%) and largemouth bass (21%). The most abundant species by weight were largemouth bass (63%) and bluegill (23%).

A total of 193 bluegill was collected that weighed 13 lbs. Relative abundance of bluegill by number was 75% while abundance by weight was 23%. Bluegill CPUE was 310.0/h, 5.0/gill net lift, and 28.0/ trap net lift. Bluegill ranged in length from 2.0 to 8.5 in and averaged 4.3 in. Eleven percent of bluegill were available for harvest (6.0 in or larger). Only 2% of bluegill collected were 8.0 in or larger. Age-2 bluegill averaged 4.1 in long and comprised 73% of the sample. Bluegill PSD was 10. The BGFP score was 16 which equates to a "fair" rating for the bluegill fishery.

Largemouth bass ranked second in abundance by number (21%), and first in abundance by weight (63%). There were 53 largemouth collected weighing 34 lbs. Bass ranged in length from 1.8 to 16.3 in and averaged 7.9 in. Bass electrofishing CPUE was 92.0/h. Largemouth bass PSD was 78. Nearly 47% of bass collected were 1.5 to 2.5 in and likely YOY. A total of 28% of the bass collected met or exceeded the 14-in minimum size limit

Other fish collected were six black crappie, two channel catfish, two redear sunfish, and one black bullhead. Altogether, these species comprised 4% of the sample by number and 14% by weight.

DISCUSSION

Bluegill continue to dominate the lake, comprising 75% of the fish community. As in the past, most of the bluegill collected (89%) measured less than 6 in. Dense vegetation growth has allowed large numbers of bluegill to survive by preventing bass from effectively preying on them. More competition among bluegill can lead to slower bluegill growth and an abundance of

small bluegill as in the case at Manlove. Abundant bluegill can also negatively affect the largemouth bass population by targeting bass eggs and hatched fry. This may be evident by the small number of age 1 and older largemouth caught during the survey.

Submersed vegetation must be reduced to improve the quality of the fishery at Manlove Lake. Normally, around 20% coverage of submersed vegetation is desirable. However, in the case of Manlove, this coverage needs to be no more than 10 to 15% to allow for optimum bass foraging on overabundant bluegill.

The implementation of grates to prevent the passage of large fish through the overflow at Manlove Lake allowed the conservation club to stock 60 triploid grass carp in the fall of 2006. The goal of the stocking was to reduce the amount of vegetation coverage rather than totally eliminate it. If in a few years it appears the grass carp are not providing enough reduction in submersed vegetation, additional grass carp can be stocked.

Thick mats of filamentous algae were observed during the survey. Grass carp do not prefer to feed on algae, thus they likely will not be able to control it as desired. Excessive algae, if not treated, could pose a serious threat to the fishery. Sometimes algae mats will die very suddenly, leading to a significant depletion of oxygen. This creates a very stressful environment for fish and could lead to a massive fish kill. Algae will need to be controlled from spring through late summer due to the high nutrient content in the lake. In order to keep the algae under control, treatments should begin as early as April and may be needed every 2 to 3 weeks to eliminate the need for large-scale treatments.

The conservation club should apply for an aquatic vegetation control permit by the end of January. While herbicide treatments for submersed vegetation may or may not be needed depending on the effectiveness of the grass carp, it is still recommended that they continue to be listed on the permit application along with algae treatments. If grass carp do not provide sufficient vegetation reduction around the pier or boat ramp, this will allow treatments to be conducted in these areas to improve access. The conservation club should contact District 5 fisheries biologists by the end of March to help develop a herbicide treatment plan.

Bluegill continue to provide the best angling opportunities at Manlove Lake. Though most of the bluegill are smaller than desired, their numbers are strong. Since bluegill are overly abundant, any bluegill caught should be harvested. With continued management efforts the bluegill fishery should start to improve. As in years past, anglers are encouraged to practice

catch and release of largemouth bass in order to increase the predatory pressure on bluegill once the aquatic vegetation is reduced.

The small number of catfish collected during the survey indicates these fish are being utilized by anglers. To maintain this fishing opportunity, channel catfish should continue to be stocked on a biennial basis because they typically are not able to sustain their populations in small lakes due to limited spawning habitat and heavy predation by bass on young catfish. The most recent stocking of channel catfish (500, 8 in fish) occurred in the fall of 2006. The next stocking of channel catfish is slated for the fall of 2008.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The conservation club should apply for an aquatic vegetation control permit by the end of January. Herbicide treatments for submersed vegetation should continue to be listed on the permit application along with algae treatments. Contact District 5 fisheries biologists by the end of March to help develop a herbicide treatment plan.
- Continued control of filamentous algae should start as early as April and continue throughout the year.
- Anglers are encouraged to practice catch and release for largemouth bass to help control the bluegill population and any bluegill caught should be harvested.
- Channel catfish should continue to be stocked on a biennial basis (500, 8 in fish).

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, R.O. and R.M. Neumann. 1996. Length, weight, and associated structural indices. Pages 447-481 in B.R. Murphey and D.W. Willis, editors. Fisheries Techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Ball, R.L. and J.N. Tousignant. 1996. The Development of an Objective Rating System to Assess Bluegill Fishing in Lakes and Ponds, Research Report. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Indianapolis, Indiana. 18pp.
- Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife. 2001. Manual of Fisheries Survey Methods. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Indianapolis, Indiana. 67pp.

Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife. 2006. Tier II aquatic vegetation survey protocol. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Indianapolis, IN. 23pp.

Submitted by: Jamie L. Smyth, Assistant Fisheries Biologist

Date: December 10, 2007

Approved by: J. Rhett Wisener, Fisheries Biologist

Approved by:

Brian M. Schoenung, Fisheries Supervisor,

Date: January 31, 2008

LAKE SURVEY REPORT		Type of Survey	nitial Sur	vey	Х	Re-Survey		
Lake Name		County			Date	e of survey (N	Month, day, year)	
Manlove Lake		Fayette				7	7/18-19/06	
Biologist's name					Date	e of approval	(Month, day, year)	
Jamie L. Smyth							1/31/2008	
		LOCATIO	N					
Quadrangle Name		Range			Sec	tion		
Connersville			12E			21, N	NW1/4, SW1/4	
Township Name		Nearest Town						
15N				6 miles N\	N o	f Connersy	ville	
	,	ACCESSIBIL	ITY					
State owned public access site		Privately owned	d public a	ccess site		Other access	s site	
						Owned	d by Fayette County	
Surface acres Maximum depth	Average depth	Acre feet		Water level			Extreme fluctuations	
15 9.5 ft	5 ft	48		1,0)30	msl	Minor	
Location of benchmark BM 1040 - T15N, R12E, S20, NE1/	4, NE1/4							
		INLETS						
Name	Location			Origin				
Unnamed intermittent	North end			T15N, R1	2E, S17, SE1/4, SE1/4			
	1							
		2						
Namo	Location	OUTLETS	8					
Name	Location South and	OUTLETS	3					
Lick Creek	Location South end	OUTLETS	3					
		OUTLETS	6					
Lick Creek				ACRES			Bottom type	
Lick Creek Water level control	South end	(Feet MSL)		ACRES			Bottom type Boulder	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM	South end ELEVATION ((Feet MSL)						
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL	South end ELEVATION (1,03	(Feet MSL)		15			Boulder Gravel	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03	(Feet MSL) 33 30					Boulder Gravel X Sand	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL	South end ELEVATION (1,03	(Feet MSL) 33 30		15			Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30		15			Boulder Gravel X Sand	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,03 1,02	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30		15			Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,03 1,02	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30		15			Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED Watershed use	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,02 1,02	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30		15			Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay	
Lick Creek Water level control POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,02 1,02	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30		15			Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay	
POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED Watershed use Mostly agricultural with some reside	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,02 1,02 ential.	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30 20	<i>A</i>	15 15	re u	ndevelope	Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay Marl	
POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED Watershed use Mostly agricultural with some resided Development of shoreline	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,02 1,02 ential. thwest side of the	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30 20 20	remaini	15 15	re u	ndevelope	Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay Marl	
POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED Watershed use Mostly agricultural with some reside Development of shoreline A campground is located at the nor	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,02 1,02 ential. thwest side of the	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30 20 20	remaini	15 15	re u	ndevelope	Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay Marl	
POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED Watershed use Mostly agricultural with some reside Development of shoreline A campground is located at the nor	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,02 1,02 ential. thwest side of the	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30 20 20	remaini	15 15	re u	ndevelope	Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay Marl	
POOL TOP OF DAM TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL TOP OF CONSERVATION POOL TOP OF MINIMUM POOL STREAMBED Watershed use Mostly agricultural with some reside Development of shoreline A campground is located at the nori	South end ELEVATION (1,03 1,03 1,02 1,02 1,02 ential. thwest side of the is available for	(Feet MSL) 33 30 30 20 20 20 de lake. The results bank fishing	remaini	15 15 ng areas a			Boulder Gravel X Sand X Muck X Clay Marl	

	SAMPLING EFFORT										
ELECTROFISHING	Day hours			Night hours		Total hours					
LLLCTROI ISI IING					0.5	0.5					
TRAP NETS	Number of trap	os		Number of Lift	s	Total effort					
ITVALINCIS		1			1	1 lift					
GILL NETS	Number of net	S		Number of Lif	ts	Total effort					
GILLINETS		2			1	2 lifts					
ROTENONE	Gallons	ppm	Acre F	eet Treated	SHORELINE SEINING	Number of 100 Foot Seine Hauls					

	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS										
Color					Turbidity						
Brown					3	Feet		0	Inches (S	SECCHI DISK)	
Alkalinity (ppm)*					рН						
	Surface:	51.3	Bottom:	51.3			Surface:		9.5	Bottom:	9.0
Conductivity:					Air temper	ature:			°F		
			Not meas	sured				NA	<u> </u>		
Water chemistry G	SPS coordinate	s:									
			N					1	V		

		TE	MPERATURE AN	ID DISSOLV	ED OXYGEN	N (D.O.)		
DEPTH (FEET)	Degrees (°F)	D.O. (ppm)	DEPTH (FEET)	DEGREES (°F)	D.O. (ppm)	DEPTH (FEET)	DEGREES (°F)	D.O. (ppm)
SURFACE	84.2	6.6	36			72		
2	83.7	5.7	38			74		
4	82.8	5.0	40			76		
6	76.6	0.1	42			78		
8	70.8	0.1	44			80		
10			46			82		
12			48			84		
14			50			86		
16			52			88		
18			54			90		
20			56			92		
22			58			94		
24			60			96		
26			62			98		
28			64			100		
30			66			_		
32			68					
34			70					

COMMENTS	

SPECIES AND RELATIVE	ABUNDANCE	OF FISHES C	COLLECTED BY N	UMBER AND W	/EIGHT
*COMMON NAME OF FISH	NUMBER	PERCENT	LENGTH RANGE (inches)	WEIGHT (pounds)	PERCENT
Bluegill	193	75.1	2.0 - 8.5	12.57	23.4
Largemouth bass	53	20.6	1.8 - 16.3	33.55	62.5
Black crappie	6	2.3	5.4 - 12.6	1.91	3.6
Channel catfish	2	0.8	14.7 - 20.3	3.89	7.3
Redear sunfish	2	0.8	6.0 - 7.4	0.40	0.7
Black bullhead	1	0.4	13.4	1.32	2.5
TOTAL	257			53.64	

^{*}Common names of fishes recognized by the American Fisheries Society.

NUMBER, PERCENTAGE, WEIGHT, AND AGE OF BLUEGILL										
TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERAGE WEIGHT	AGE OF	TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERA WEIGH		AGE OF
(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pounds)	FISH	(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pound		FISH
1.0					19.0				_	
1.5					19.5					
2.0	8	4.1	0.01	1	20.0					
2.5	10	5.2	0.01	1	20.5					
3.0	24	12.4	0.02	1,2	21.0					
3.5	47	24.4	0.03	2	21.5					
4.0	36	18.7	0.04	2	22.0					
4.5	22	11.4	0.06	2	22.5					
5.0	16	8.3	0.08	2,3	23.0					
5.5	8	4.1	0.11	2,3,4	23.5					
6.0	3	1.6	0.15	4	24.0					
6.5	7	3.6	0.20	3,4	24.5					
7.0	6	3.1	0.25	3,4	25.0					
7.5	2	1.0	0.31	4,7	25.5					
8.0	3	1.6	0.38	7,8	26.0					
8.5	1	0.5	0.47	7	TOTAL	193				
9.0										
9.5										
10.0										
10.5										
11.0										
11.5										
12.0										
12.5										
13.0										
13.5										
14.0										
14.5										
15.0										
15.5										
16.0										
16.5										
17.0										
17.5										
18.0										
18.5										
ELECTF	ROFISHING ATCH	310.	0 /hr	GILL NET CATCH	5.	0 /lift	TRAP N CATC		28	8.0 /lift

	NU	MBER, PER	RCENTAGE	, WEIGHT,	IT, AND AGE OF LARGEMOUTH BASS						
TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH	TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERA WEIGI (pound	HT	AGE OF FISH	
1.0	OOLLEGILB	OGLECTED	(pourids)	11011	19.0	OOLLLOTED	OOLLEGILB	(рошто	10)	1 1011	
1.5	3	5.7	0.01	not aged	19.5						
2.0	11	2.1	0.01	not aged	20.0						
2.5	11	2.1	0.01	not aged	20.5						
3.0				J	21.0						
3.5					21.5						
4.0					22.0						
4.5					22.5						
5.0	1	1.9	0.05	1	23.0						
5.5					23.5						
6.0					24.0						
6.5	1	1.9	0.12	1	24.5						
7.0	1	1.9	0.15	1	25.0						
7.5					25.5						
8.0					26.0						
8.5					TOTAL	53					
9.0	2	3.8	0.33	2							
9.5	1	1.9	0.40	2							
10.0	1	1.9	0.46	2							
10.5											
11.0	1	1.9	0.63	2							
11.5											
12.0	1	1.9	0.82	3							
12.5	2	3.8	0.95	not aged							
13.0											
13.5	2	3.8	1.20	4							
14.0	1	1.9	1.38	5							
14.5	8	15.1	1.56	4,5,6							
15.0	2	3.8	1.74	5							
15.5	1	1.9	1.92	5							
16.0	3	5.7	2.15	not aged							
16.5											
17.0											
17.5											
18.0											
18.5											
	ROFISHING ATCH	92.0) /hr	GILL NET CATCH	3.	0 /lift	TRAP N CATC			1.0 /lift	

ELECTROFISHING CATCH 92.0 /hr	GILL NET 3.0 /lift	TRAP NET CATCH 1.0 /lift
----------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------

Species	YEAR	NUMBER OF	SIZE		BACK C	ALCULAT	ED LENG	ΓΗ (inches) AT EAC	H AGE	
Bluegill	CLASS	FISH AGED	RANGE	_	II	III	IV	٧	VI	VII	VIII
Intercept= 0.8	2005	10	2.0-3.2	1.4							
	2004	23	3.0-5.5	1.4	2.8						
	2003	6	5.0-7.3	1.4	3.2	5.7					
	2002	9	5.9-7.6	1.3	2.7	4.3	5.7				
	2001	0									
	2000	0									
	1999	4	7.8-8.5	1.4	2.8	4.7	5.9	7.1	7.6	8	
	1998	1	8.3	1.4	2.9	4.7	5.8	7.1	7.6	8.1	8.3

Species	YEAR	NUMBER OF	SIZE		BACK C	ALCULAT	ED LENG	TH (inches) AT EAC	H AGE	
Largemouth bass	CLASS	FISH AGED	RANGE	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Intercept=0.8	2005	3	5.0-7.1	3.4							
	2004	5	9.3-11.1	3.5	8.3						
	2003	1	12.3	3.5	7.4	11.2					
	2002	3	13.7-14.5	4.3	8.5	11.2	13.0				
	2001	8	13.5-15.6	4.0	8.2	11.5	13.0	14.6			
	2000	1	14.9	3.8	8.2	11.5	12.6	13.6	14.3		

	Bluegill Age-length Key												
Length	Total #	Sub-				Αg	ge						
group (in)	number	sample	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
1.0													
1.5													
2.0	8	5	8										
2.5	10	4	10										
3.0	24	6	4	20									
3.5	47	4		47									
4.0	36	5		36									
4.5	22	4		22									
5.0	16	5		13	3								
5.5	8	3		3	3	3							
6.0	3	3				3							
6.5	7	4			2	5							
7.0	6	4			5	2							
7.5	2	2				1			1				
8.0	3	3							1	1			
8.5	1	1							1				
Total	193	53	22	140	12	13	0	0	3	1			

Largemouth bass Age-length Key								
Length	Total #	Sub-			Ą	ge		
group (in)	number	sample	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.0								
1.5	3							
2.0	11							
2.5	11							
3.0								
3.5								
4.0								
4.5								
5.0	1	1	1					
5.5								
6.0								
6.5	1	1	1					
7.0	1	1	1					
7.5								
8.0								
8.5								
9.0	2	2 1		2 1				
9.5	1							
10.0	1	1		1				
10.5								
11.0	1	1		1				
11.5								
12.0	1	1			1			
12.5	2							
13.0								
13.5	2	2				2		
14.0	1	1					1	
14.5	8	6				1	5	1
15.0	2	2					2	
15.5	1	1					1	
16.0	3							
Total	53	21	3	5	1	3	9	1

Mean length at Capture

Bluegill						
Age	Number	Mean TL	Var	SE	Lo 95%CI	Up 95%CI
1	22	2.7	0.13	0.08	2.5	2.8
2	140	4.1	0.39	0.05	4.0	4.2
3	12	6.3	0.79	0.25	5.8	6.8
4	13	6.6	0.35	0.16	6.2	6.9
5	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0
6	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0
7	3	8.3	0.25	0.29	7.7	8.8
8	1	8.3	NA	NA	NA	NA

Largemouth bass	La	rqe	emo	uth	bas	s
-----------------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---

Age	Number	Mean TL	Var	SE	Lo 95%CI	Up 95%CI
1	3	6.4	1.08	0.60	5.2	7.6
2	5	10.0	0.70	0.37	9.2	10.7
3	1	12.3	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	3	14.2	0.34	0.32	13.5	14.8
5	9	14.9	0.18	0.14	14.6	15.2
6	1	14.8	NA	NA	NA	NA

Occurrence and Abundance of Submersed Aquatic Plants - Overall								
Lake:	Manlove Lal	ke	Secchi (ft): 3	SE Mean Species / Site: 0.21				
Date:	7/19/2006		Littoral Sites w/Plants: 27	Mean Natives / Site: 2.00				
Littora	I Depth (ft):	8.0	Number of Species: 5	SE Mean Natives / Site: 0.21				
Littora	l Sites:		Max. Species / Site: 4	Species Diversity: 0.71				
Total S	Sites:	30	Mean Species / Site: 2.00	Native Diversity: 0.71				

	Frequency of	;	Score Fi	/		
Species	Occurrence	0	1	3	5	Dominance
Coontail	73.3	26.7	30.0	36.7	6.7	34.7
Leafy pondweed	36.7	63.3	30.0	3.3	3.3	11.3
Southern naiad	66.7	33.3	43.3	10.0	13.3	28.0
Sago pondweed	6.7	93.3	6.7	0.0	0.0	1.3
Elodea	16.7	83.3	10.0	3.3	3.3	7.3
Filamentous algae	53.3					

Other species noted: Cattails, watermeal, duckweed, American pondweed.